



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,955	09/22/2003	James Y.J. Chung	PO7946/MD02-19	1214
157 BAYER MAT	7590 08/01/2007 ERIAL SCIENCE LLC		EXAMINER	
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			SZEKELY, PETER A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,955	CHUNG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Szekely	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	ne 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 13-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa	e				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/667,955 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 1. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ross et al. 6,610,770, with Bixler et al. 5,178,730 enclosed as evidence.
- 2. Ross et al. disclose a fire retardant polymer composition comprising smectite clay modified with one or more quaternary ammonium compounds, an organic material capable of intercalating the clay and a polymer, with the organic material being present in a concentration of 1-50 wt.% of the clay (claim 1). Although the organic material is described in the specification as optional, it is positively claimed, making it a required constituent. The clay and the organic material are present in a concentration of 1-40 wt% of the polymer (claim 2). The organic material is an organic acid (claim 3). The polymer can be polycarbonate (claim 4) and since it is part of a Markush group, it is individually claimed. The clay can be montmorillonite, bentonite or hectorite (claim 8 and column 6, lines 50-67). Dimethyl bis(hydrogenated tallow) ammonium chloride is described in column 8, lines 35-60. The organic acid can be citric acid (column 9, line 16). Ross et al. do not mention the particle size of the clay, however Bixler et al. in claim 2, teach the dimensions of hectorite, the clay used by Ross et al. (see claim 8), proving that the dimensions claimed by applicants are inherently possessed by the claimed nanoclay. The impact performance is inherent in the composition. The process

Application/Control Number: 10/667,955

Art Unit: 1714

is nominal, comprising mixing the ingredients together only. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Page 3

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 7/26/07